
Navigating the Teesta River Water Dispute: A Diplomatic Examination of Modi Government's Role vis-à-vis Mamata's Influence

Amrita Banerjee

Assistant Professor, Department of Political Science, Bidhan Chandra College, Asansol, West Bengal, India. Email: amrita@bccollegeasansol.ac.in

Abstract

The Teesta River water dispute between India and Bangladesh exemplifies the complexities inherent in Transboundary water management between friendly neighbors. This article examines the roles of the Modi government and Mamata Banerjee's administration in West Bengal, offering a balanced analysis while exploring pathways to resolution. Despite challenges such as decentralized governance and political considerations, the Modi government's commitment to dialogue and technical assessments, coupled with Mamata Banerjee's advocacy for state interests, presents opportunities for progress. Through enhanced bilateral dialogue, scientific assessments, and inclusive engagement, a sustainable solution can be achieved, fostering regional cooperation and ensuring equitable sharing of the Teesta's waters.

KEYWORDS: Teesta River, Governance, Bangladesh, Trans-boundary, India, WestBengal.

INTRODUCTION

The Teesta River, meandering through the picturesque landscapes of the Indian state of West Bengal before traversing into Bangladesh, serves as a lifeline for millions, sustaining agriculture, ecology, and livelihoods on both sides of the border. Yet, its waters also mirror the complexities inherent in managing shared resources between neighbouring nations. The Teesta water dispute between India and Bangladesh stands as a poignant reminder of the intricate challenges and diplomatic intricacies involved in Transboundary water governance, especially among historically friendly neighbours (Naher, 2021).

For decades, the Teesta River has been a focal point of contention, with discussions and negotiations aimed at achieving a fair and mutually beneficial sharing arrangement. However, despite intermittent efforts, a comprehensive agreement has remained elusive, leading to periodic tensions and frustrations on both sides. Against this backdrop, recent attention has turned towards dissecting the roles and responsibilities of key stakeholders, particularly the Modi government at the central level in India and the government of West Bengal, led by Chief Minister Mamata Banerjee.

At the helm of India's federal governance sits Prime Minister Narendra Modi, whose tenure has been marked by a vision of regional cooperation and connectivity, particularly with neighbouring countries like Bangladesh. The Modi government has consistently emphasized the importance of nurturing strong bilateral ties and resolving out standing disputes through dialogue and diplomacy. The Teesta River water sharing issue, therefore, has been positioned within the broader framework of India's commitment to regional stability and development (Biswas, 2019).

Conversely, within the intricate tapestry of Indian federalism, the role of state governments cannot be overstated. West Bengal, with its significant stake in the Teesta River basin, holds sway over the regional dynamics of water governance. Under the leadership of Mamata Banerjee, the state government has been vocal in articulating its concerns and priorities regarding water allocation and usage, often shaping the discourse around the Teesta dispute and influencing the negotiating positions of the Indian government.

Against this backdrop of intersecting interests and political considerations, this research article endeavors to provide a nuanced analysis of the Teesta River water dispute, examining the roles of both the Modi government and Mamata Banerjee's administration, while also exploring pathways towards a sustainable and equitable resolution (Ashraf, 2020). By delving into the diplomatic intricacies and domestic dynamics at play, this study seeks to contribute to a deeper understanding of the challenges and opportunities in managing shared water resources between India and Bangladesh.

MODI GOVERNMENT'S PERSPECTIVE

Under the stewardship of Prime Minister Narendra Modi, the Modi government has embarked on a journey to redefine India's role on the global stage, emphasizing proactive diplomacy, economic integration, and regional cooperation. Central to this vision has been the pursuit of strengthened ties with neighboring countries, including Bangladesh, with whom India shares a rich history and a complex array of bilateral issues, the Teesta River water dispute being one of the most prominent among them.

At the heart of the Modi government's approach to the Teesta dispute lies a commitment to dialogue and negotiation as the primary means of conflict resolution. Recognizing the significance of the Teesta River for both India and Bangladesh, the government has sought to engage with its Bangladeshi counterparts in a spirit of mutual respect and cooperation, with the aim of finding a fair and sustainable solution that addresses the concerns of all stakeholders (Hossain, 2015).

To this end, the Modi government has pursued a multi-pronged strategy, combining diplomatic engagement, technical assessments, and stakeholder consultations. High-level visits and meetings between Indian and Bangladeshi officials have been instrumental in steering trust and understanding, providing a platform for frank discussions on the complexities of the water sharing issue. Moreover, the Modi government has sought to leverage existing institutional mechanisms, such as the Joint Rivers Commission, to facilitate dialogue and cooperation on transboundary water management.

Central to the Modi government's approach has been a recognition of the need for a comprehensive and holistic understanding of the Teesta River basin, encompassing not only the distribution of water resources but also their ecological and socio-economic implications. In this regard, the government has invested in scientific research and technical assessments to inform decision making, seeking to base negotiations on empirical data and expert analysis rather than mere conjecture or political rhetoric.

However, navigating the intricacies of the Teesta dispute has not been without its challenges for the Modi government. One significant obstacle has been the decentralized nature of water governance in India, wherein state governments wield considerable authority and influence over water-related decisions. In the case of the Teesta River, the government of West Bengal, led by Chief Minister Mamata Banerjee, has emerged as a key stakeholder, with its own set of priorities and concerns regarding water allocation and usage.

Indeed, the role of Mamata Banerjee and the West Bengal government has posed a unique set of challenges for the Modi government's efforts to reach a consensus on the Teesta issue. Banerjee, a staunch advocate for the interests of her state, has been vocal in articulating West Bengal's position on water sharing, often expressing apprehensions about the potential impact of any agreement on the state's agricultural and economic activities. Her influence within the political landscape of West Bengal has added a layer of complexity to negotiations, requiring the Modi government to navigate a delicate balance between state-level interests and national imperatives.

CHALLENGES FACED BY THE MODI GOVERNMENT

Despite the Modi government's earnest efforts to address the Teesta River water dispute, several challenges have impeded progress towards a comprehensive resolution. Foremost, among these challenges is the decentralized nature of water governance in India, which has complicated the negotiation process and hindered

the formulation of a unified national stance on the issue. With state governments wielding significant authority over water related decisions, achieving consensus among all stakeholders has proven to be a daunting task for the central government.

In the case of the Teesta dispute, the government of West Bengal, led by Chief Minister Mamata Banerjee, has emerged as a critical player, with its own set of priorities and concerns regarding water allocation and usage. Banerjee's vocal advocacy for the interests of her state has sometimes clashed with the broader national objectives pursued by the Modi government, creating tensions and divergences that have hampered progress in negotiations. Balancing the interests of West Bengal with the imperatives of a cohesive national strategy has thus posed a significant challenge for the Modi government.

Moreover, the Teesta River basin itself presents a complex mosaic of ecological, socio-economic, and political factors that further complicate the resolution process. The availability and distribution of water resources within the basin are subject to natural fluctuations and environmental pressures, exacerbating the challenges of equitable water sharing. Additionally, the basin supports diverse communities with varying livelihoods and interests, each of which must be considered in crafting a comprehensive solution to the dispute.

Furthermore, external factors, including geopolitical considerations and international obligations, add another layer of complexity to the Teesta issue. The relationship between India and Bangladesh is influenced by a myriad of factors beyond the scope of the water dispute alone, requiring the Modi government to navigate a complex web of diplomatic, economic, and strategic interests in its pursuit of a resolution. Ensuring that progress on the Teesta front does not adversely affect broader bilateral relations with Bangladesh is thus a delicate balancing act for the Modi government.

MAMTA BANERJEE'S INFLUENCE

In the intricate tapestry of Indian federalism, Mamata Banerjee, the Chief Minister of West Bengal, emerges as a central figure in shaping the state's stance on the Teesta River water dispute. With her formidable political acumen and unwavering commitment to the interests of West Bengal, Banerjee's influence looms large over the regional dynamics of water governance, posing both challenges and opportunities for the Modi government's efforts to reach a consensus on the issue.

Banerjee's leadership in West Bengal is characterized by a fierce advocacy for the state's autonomy and self-determination, rooted in her deep-seated belief in federal principles and decentralized governance. As the head of a state endowed with a rich

cultural heritage and a vibrant agricultural economy, Banerjee has consistently prioritized the welfare of her constituents, seeking to safeguard their interests against perceived encroachments by the central government.

In the context of the Teesta dispute, Banerjee's influence stems from multiple factors, including her electoral mandate, political ideology, and personal convictions (Islam, 2016). As the democratically elected leader of West Bengal, Banerjee draws legitimacy and authority from the support of the state's populace, who look to her to champion their cause on the national stage. Her landslide victories in successive state elections underscore the resonance of her populist message and the depth of her grassroots support, imbuing her with considerable political capital and leverage.

Moreover, Banerjee's political ideology, characterized by a staunch commitment to secularism, social justice, and regional pride, informs her approach to governance and policy making. Rooted in the legacy of Bengal's intellectual and cultural traditions, Banerjee's worldview is shaped by a deep-seated sense of identity and heritage, which she fiercely defends against perceived threats from outside forces. This sense of regional identity and solidarity underpins her advocacy for West Bengal's interests, including its claims to the waters of the Teesta River (Rahman, 2024).

At a personal level, Banerjee's leadership style is marked by a rare combination of charisma, courage, and conviction, which endears her to both supporters and detractors alike. Known for her fiery rhetoric and street-smart politics, Banerjee has carved out a niche for herself as a formidable opponent of the central government, often challenging its policies and decisions with characteristic zeal and tenacity. Her unwavering commitment to the cause of West Bengal and its people has earned her the moniker of "Didi" or elder sister, symbolizing her role as a protector and guardian of the state's interests.

In the context of the Teesta River water dispute, Banerjee's influence manifests in several ways, shaping the discourse and dynamics of negotiations between India and Bangladesh. Foremost among these is her vocal advocacy for what she perceives as the interests of West Bengal, particularly with regard to water allocation and usage (Ashraf, 2020). Given the importance of the Teesta River for the state's agricultural economy and ecological sustainability, Banerjee has been steadfast in demanding a fair and equitable share of the river's waters, ensuring that the concerns of her constituents are adequately addressed in any potential agreement.

Furthermore, Banerjee's political calculations and electoral considerations play a significant role in shaping her stance on the Teesta issue. As a leader with her sights set on retaining power in West Bengal, Banerjee is mindful of the electoral

implications of any decision or concession made by her government in the context of the water dispute. With water scarcity and agricultural livelihoods at stake, Banerjee is acutely aware of the potential electoral fallout of any perceived capitulation or compromise on the Teesta front, making her doubly cautious in her approach to negotiations.

Additionally, Banerjee's influence extends beyond the confines of West Bengal, resonating with regional and national audiences alike. As a prominent opposition leader on the national stage, Banerjee's voice carries weight and significance in shaping public opinion and political discourse across India. Her ability to mobilize popular support and galvanize mass movements gives her a formidable platform from which to articulate her views and assert West Bengal's interests in the national arena.

PATHWAYS TO RESOLUTION

Despite the myriad challenges inherent in the Teesta River water dispute, there exist several potential pathways towards a sustainable and equitable resolution. Central to these pathways is the imperative of fostering dialogue, cooperation, and compromise among all stakeholders, with a view towards addressing the concerns and interests of both India and Bangladesh (Sultana, 2019).

First and foremost, enhancing bilateral dialogue and engagement between India and Bangladesh is essential for building trust and understanding, laying the foundation for constructive negotiations. High-level visits, diplomatic exchanges, and regular meetings between officials from both countries provide valuable opportunities for frank and open discussions on the complexities of the Teesta issue, helping to bridge gaps in perception and perspective.

Secondly, a focus on technical and scientific assessments of water availability and usage in the Teesta River basin is crucial for informing decision-making and facilitating equitable sharing arrangements (Mondol & Islam, 2017). By leveraging the expertise of hydrologists, environmental scientists, and other experts, both India and Bangladesh can gain a comprehensive understanding of the hydrological dynamics of the basin, enabling them to develop evidence-based solutions that account for the needs and vulnerabilities of all stakeholders.

Thirdly, involving non-governmental actors, including civil society organizations, community leaders, and academic institutions, can help broaden the scope of dialogue and foster greater inclusivity in decision-making processes. By engaging with grassroots stakeholders and harnessing local knowledge and expertise, policy makers can ensure that the voices of marginalized communities and vulnerable

groups are heard and taken into account in shaping water governance policies and practices.

Furthermore, exploring innovative mechanisms for cooperation and conflict resolution, such as joint monitoring mechanisms, water sharing agreements, and transboundary river commissions, can provide valuable frameworks for managing shared water resources in a manner that is fair, transparent, and sustainable (Akhtar, 2021). By institutionalizing cooperation and collaboration, both India and Bangladesh can mitigate the risk of future conflicts and promote long-term stability and prosperity in the region.

Ultimately, achieving a resolution to the Teesta dispute will require political will, leadership, and a shared commitment to mutual understanding and cooperation. By embracing the principles of dialogue, cooperation, and compromise, India and Bangladesh can overcome the challenges posed by the Teesta issue and forge a path towards a future where the waters of the river flow freely, nourishing the lands and lives of both nations for generations to come.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the Teesta River water dispute underscores the intricacies of managing shared resources between India and Bangladesh. While challenges persist, including decentralized governance and divergent political interests, there are avenues for progress. The Modi government's commitment to dialogue and technical assessments, coupled with Mamata Banerjee's advocacy for state interests, offers hope for resolution. By embracing inclusive engagement, scientific analysis, and diplomatic cooperation, both nations can overcome obstacles and forge a path towards a sustainable and equitable solution. Such a resolution would not only address the immediate concerns of water allocation but also foster broader regional cooperation and strengthen the bonds of friendship between India and Bangladesh.

REFERENCES

1. Biswas, A. K., & Tortajada, C. (2019). Water crisis and water wars: myths and realities. *International Journal of Water Resources Development*, 35(5), 727–731. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07900627.2019.1636502>
2. Naher, M. K., Rahman, A., Chowdhury, U., & Naher, M. (2021). Policy Recommendations towards Water Sharing Geopolitics between India and Bangladesh. *International Journal of Humanities Social Sciences and Education*, 8(7). <https://doi.org/10.20431/2349-0381.0807009>
3. Ashraf, T. (2020). Bangladesh and India: The domestic and international repercussions of asymmetric and securitized hydro-politics. *FIU Electronic Theses and Dissertations*. 4430. <https://doi.org/10.25148/etd.fidc008909>

-
4. Hossen, M. A. (2015). The Ganges Basin management and community empowerment. *Bandung*, 2(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40728-014-0005-3>
 5. Rahman, N. M. M. (2024). Water Sharing Geopolitics between India and Bangladesh: Recent Trends. *International Journal of Applied and Advanced Multidisciplinary Research*, 2(8), 569–592. <https://doi.org/10.59890/ijaamr.v2i8.2418>
 6. Mondal, M. S. H., & Islam, M. S. (2017). Chronological trends in maximum and minimum water flows of the Teesta River, Bangladesh, and its implications. *Jàmbá Journal of Disaster Risk Studies*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.4102/jamba.v9i1.373>
 7. Aktar, F. (2021). Water Diplomacy and Water sharing problem between Bangladesh and India: a Quest for Solution. *International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation*, 08(01), 223–229. <https://doi.org/10.51244/ijrsi.2021.8111>